UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/782,387	02/18/2004	J. Michael Rivera	022050-000100US	4345
	7590 09/23/200 AND TOWNSEND AN		EXAMINER	
TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER			BATTULA, PRADEEP CHOUDARY	
EIGHTH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3725	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/23/2008	PAPER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/782,387	RIVERA ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	PRADEEP C. BATTULA	3725
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 J</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This     Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under the practice under the practice.	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4)  Claim(s) 1-6 and 14-19 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 1-6 and 14-19 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	awn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by the lead rawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is objection	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list.	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

Art Unit: 3725

### **DETAILED ACTION**

# This action is in response to the reply filed on June 3, 2008 Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 1, 14, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kamen in view of Osamu and Crum (U.S. PG Pub. 2005/0087977).

In regards to Claim 1, Kamen discloses a method of attaching a film to printed matter, comprising: printing a pattern on a substrate with UV curable ink (Column 1, Lines 50 – 55; Column 3, Lines 1 – 6); placing a film over said pattern (Column 1, Lines 57 – 61); and curing said UV curable ink with UV light (Column 1, Line 56; Column 3, Lines 1 – 6). Kamen teaches of attaching a film to the printed pattern without the need for an adhesive layer by use of an ink and wherein when foil is placed on the substrate it is peeled away and only the portions on the ink pattern remain (Column 1, Lines 59 – 61).

Kamen does not disclose the film is a holographic film and the UV curable ink is in an uncured state before the holographic film is placed over the ink and wherein said curing causes said holographic film to stick to said pattern.

Osamu discloses a method of attaching a hologram film to printed matter, comprising: printing a pattern on a substrate 3 with UV curable ink (Section 0005, Lines 1 – 10); placing a holographic film (5, 8) over said pattern (Section 0005, Lines 13 – 16); and curing said UV curable ink 4 with UV light (Section 0010, Lines 1 – 4; Generally known in art that UV ink is hardened by light; Section 0010, Lines 5 – 15; also cured with heat rollers – merely used to show that foil can be attached by curing of adhesive); wherein said curing causes said holographic film to stick to said pattern (Section 0010, Lines 5 – 15; Figure 1, Item 5; Figure 2, Items 2, 4, 8). Therefore it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute Osamu's film for Kamen's film in order to provide a more decorative coating to Kamen's substrate (Column 1, Lines 9 – 10, 45 – 47; Kamen).

Kamen modified by Osamu does not disclose the UV curable ink is in an uncured state before the holographic film is placed over the ink wherein said curing causes said holographic film to stick to said pattern.

Crum teaches of providing a non transparent top layer 10 (Paragraph 0033, Lines 1 – 8; Figure 1, Item 10; Figure 3, Item 210) which is provided on an uncured UV curable adhesive 220 (Paragraph 0033, Lines 1 - 2, 9 – 15 teaches of curing the adhesive through one or more layers therefore layers are applied before curing; Paragraph 0041, Lines 1 – 2) with the adhesive provided on a transparent or non

Art Unit: 3725

transparent bottom layer 230 (Paragraph 0037; Paragraph 0042, Lines 1 – 5; Figure 3, Items 210, 220, 230). The three layers are put together and then a bulb is used to cure the adhesive and which bonds the sheets together (Paragraph 0042, Lines 8 – 11 teaches of not being bonded and Paragraph 0044 – 0046 teach of the bulb and the curing stations that can be used with the entire structure being put together). Therefore it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art to apply the methods of bonding a non transparent multilayer element having a UV curable coating, as taught by Crum, in order to provide a multilayer element of Kamen with the method of production which allows for element to be completed in it final configuration without any further method steps once curing is completed (Paragraph 0002, Lines 16 - 18).

In regards to Claim 19, as applied to Claim 14, Kamen modified by Osamu and Crum further discloses wherein said UV cured ink has low adhesion properties (Column 2, Lines 20 – 22, 42 - 52; Kamen; If ingredients are added to improve adhesion then adhesive strength is not initially high).

2. Claims 2 - 4, 15 - 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kamen in view of Osamu, Crum and Howland et al. (Howland; U.S. 6,089,614).

In regards to Claims 2-4, as applied to Claim 1, Kamen modified by Osamu and Crum does not disclose wherein the UV curable ink has fluorescent, photo chromic, or thermo chromic properties.

Howland discloses a security device in which indicia that can be printed with UV curable ink (Column 7, Lines 19 - 21). Howland further discloses indicia as first and second indicia 7, 9 that can have thermo chromic, photo chromic, and fluorescent

properties (Column 8, Lines 12 - 18). Therefore it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have a UV curable ink with various properties in order to further enhance the decorative properties of Kamen (areas in which there are no foil, ink edge surfaces can be seen in the gaps).

In regards to Claims 15 - 17, as applied to Claim 14, please refer to the rejection for Claims 2 - 4.

3. Claims 5 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kamen in view of Osamu, Crum and Roth.

In regards to Claim 5, as applied to Claim 1, Kamen modified by Osamu and Crum does not disclose the UV curable ink has bi-chromic properties.

Roth discloses that it is well known in the art to have a UV curable ink that changes color, therefore having bi-chromic properties (Column 2, Lines 33 – 38). Therefore it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have a UV curable ink with various properties in order to further enhance the decorative properties of Kamen (areas in which there are no foil, ink edge surfaces can be seen in the gaps).

In regards to Claim 18, as applied to Claim 14, please refer to the rejection for Claim 5.

4. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kamen in view of Osamu, Crum and Scarbrough et al. (Scarbrough; U.S. Pub 2004/0140665).

In regards to Claim 6, as applied to Claim 1, Kamen modified by Osamu and Crum does not disclose wherein the UV curable ink is scratch-off ink.

Scarbrough discloses an image with an illusion of three dimensions using opaque ink which is UV curable (Paragraph 0064, Lines 12 - 20). It is known to one with ordinary skill in the art that many opaque inks are scratch off. Therefore it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the UV curable ink of Kamen with scratch off properties in order to allow easy removal of any unwanted decoration.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to the pending claims have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

With respect to the use of Osamu's foil, the method of production is that that which is preferred by Osamu in that particular embodiment. Osamu is only used to teach of providing a foil to a substrate and the combination is a mere substitution of one foil for another.

Crum has now been presented to teach of providing the layers together and then curing where in Crum this adheres are layers to one another.

The ink of Kamen teaches of adhesive capability and providing the layers together and then curing would at least make a partial bond with the already attached foil layer. With respect to the combination with Crum, the foil can be attached before curing since the method of securing with the heat is only used to attach to the glass. Kamen clearly states that the foil does adhere to the ink (Column 1, Lines 59 – 61) and therefore curing the ink in a method process as taught by Crum will still cause the curing to stick the holographic foil to the ink layer.

Application/Control Number: 10/782,387 Page 7

Art Unit: 3725

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to PRADEEP C. BATTULA whose telephone number is (571)272-2142. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Thurs. & alternating Fri. 7:00AM - 4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Derris H. Banks can be reached on 571-272-4419. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/P. C. B./ Examiner, Art Unit 3725 September 18, 2008

/DANA ROSS/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3725